

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,232	06/30/2003	Jae-Yong Park	053785-5133	1756	
9629	7590 01/11/2006	EXAMINER			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			RIELLEY, ELIZABETH A		
	YLVANIA AVENUE NW DN, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/200	DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/608,232	PARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elizabeth A. Rielley	2879	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth A. Rielley	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:	•			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		-		
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)			
		Λ	به اسه		
		MARICELI:	11-1106		
		MARICELI :	SANTIAGO EXAMINER		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed change of the word "encapsulating" to "surrounding" raises new issues, since the word encapsulate (see http://www.onelook.com/?w=encapsulate&ls=a) means to enclose as in a capsule. Salerno et al shows in figure 25E a color filter layer (1034) being encapsulated by a a capsule-like configuration of the thin layer 1030 topped or closed with the planarization layer 1038. The planarization layer, together with the thin layer, forms a capsule in which the color filter layer is located. Hence, the color filter layer is encapsulated by placing the planarization layer on top (one can also encapsulated top and bottom, as well as side to side, see above). To change the word "encapsulate" to "surround" would change how the planarization layer and the color filter layer are configured. Also, the planarization layer 1038 encapsulate the black matrix 1036, as shown in figure 25E The bottom part of the "capsule" would be substrate 1020 (see figure 25B) and the top would be the planarization layer 1038.

Conunuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require a further search..